

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/649,294	9,294 08/26/2003		Christopher T. Maus	4L01.1-065	5468	
35725	7590	01/05/2005		EXAMINER		
		OFFICE, P.C.	SIEFKE, SAMUEL P			
ONE PREM 5605 GLEN		ZA DRIVE, STE. 795		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
ATLANTA,				1743		
				DATE MAILED: 01/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	O			
	10/649,294	MAUS ET AL.	/			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Samuel P Siefke	1743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely in the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	nce except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 34-44 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>34-44</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		•			
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	, ,,,	•	` '			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 		a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		tion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` '''	na d				
occ the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies flot receiv	cu.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)				
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date	450			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/26/03.	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	ratent Application (PTC	-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/649,294

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 34-44 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of copending Application No. 09/799,479. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both claim a plurality of removable memory storage devices, a first remote server operable for storing patients identification information indexed patient identification numbers, a second remote server operable for storing patient medical data indexed by the medical records identification numbers, wherein the medical data in the second remote server cannot be correlated to the associated patient identification information maintained in the first remoter server. A such, the instant claims are fully encompassed by the claims of 09/799,479. It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

Application/Control Number: 10/649,294

Art Unit: 1743

was made to include a data acquisition device having an input device for receiving qualitative wellness data and quantitative wellness data.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel P Siefke whose telephone number is 571-272-1262. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on 571-272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sam P. Sjefke.

Technology Center 1700

Page 3